

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—(ಸಿ) ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ 1960-61ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದಂಥ ಭೂಮಿ ಎಂದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಲು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅಕ್ರಮಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರನ್ನು ಬಿಡಿಸುವ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಏನು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಎಲ್ಲರನ್ನೂ ಬಿಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆದರೆ scheduled castes ಮತ್ತು scheduled tribes ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ಬಡಜನರು ಬಹಳ ಕಾಲ ದಿಂದ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಾನುಭೂತಿಯಿಂದ ಚೆಪ್ಪುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರುಗಳು ವಿವೇಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚನೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

9-30 A.M.

TRANSFER ORDERS MADE IN THE P.W.D. IN RESPECT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEERS AND ASSISTANT ENGINEERS.

*Q.—645. Sri D. DEVARAJ Urs (Hunsur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of transfer orders made in the Public Works Department in respect of Executive Engineers and Assistant Engineers during the years 1959-60 and 1960-61 respectively ;

(b) the number of such orders that were cancelled during the same year ;

(c) the number that were modified ;

(d) the number of Executive Engineers and Assistant Engineers who were transferred more than once during the same year ;

(e) the period of interval between the order of a transfer and its subsequent modification or cancellation in respect of each order (separate figures for the year 1959-60 and 1960-61 may be furnished) ?

A.—Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR (Deputy Minister for Public Works).—

	1959-60	1960-61
(a) Executive Engineers	... 11	21
Assistant Engineers	... 364	401
(b) Executive Engineers	... Nil	1
Assistant Engineers	... 33	20
(c) Executive Engineers	... 2	4
Assistant Engineers	... 34	56
(d) Executive Engineers	... 3	5
Assistant Engineers	... 5	9
(e) Executive Engineers	... 15 days to 1½ months	
Assistant Engineers	... 7 days to 7 months	

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Sir, in answer (c) it is said that out of 364 transfers of Assistant Engineers made during the year 1959-60, 34 have been modified; and in the year 1960-61, 56 have been modified, it is also mentioned that these modifications or cancellations were made

within a period of Seven days to Seven months; I learn that most of the cases are in a brief period after the first order was made; what were the compelling reasons which prompted the Government to make transfer orders and what were the extraneous reasons to again modify or cancel such orders?

† Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—Sir, all these transfers and modifications are all made in the interest of the Government sometimes in the interest of the public and some times when we post certain officers particularly when they are projects and if it concerns a particular specialisation of work, we are being advised now and then by the Chief Engineer to continue them. In that case, we will have to keep them there for some time. In this particular case where it involved seven months, the Superintending Engineer, Belgaum Circle, advised the particular sub-division is cancelled and hence his posting may be delayed.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Is it always in public interest or is it according to the whims and fancies of the concerned who made such transfer orders or is it governed by definite rules which are known to the people Sir?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—As regards transfers of Executive Engineer, they are handled at Government level. As regards the Assistant Engineers, it is the Chief Engineer who makes the transfers. When he makes the transfer and wants to modify it, he seeks the advice of the Government and after examining the matter, the Government approves the matter.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Shall we take it that all these modifications are made with the consent of the Government?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—In many of the cases we have been advised and on the advice of the Heads of Department. Government gave its concurrence.

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—What is the minimum period fixed for an officer to stay in a particular place?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—Normally we have prescribed a period of three years in one particular post.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Shall I take it that these whimsical transfers are responsible for the very inordinate delay in the execution of projects in a majority of the cases?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—I cannot accept that there has been whimsical transfers at all, because we have a huge staff we have about 600 Assistant Engineers and we have to modify certain postings in the interest of the Government work, particular project work.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—I make a specific allegation that a majority of these transfers are made to suit personal convenience of officers and those in power and not in the public interest. What do you say?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is absolutely incorrect Sir. It is not to suit the convenience of officers that transfers are made. But at times, they fall sick and they produce certificates, we will have to take such cases into consideration. We do not yield to any influence from anybody.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, in these modifications, how many of them were given effect before they were modified; how many officers went and took charge and after that orders were modified and what is the expenditure incurred?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—It would be difficult to answer if it is generalised like that. If any particular instance is brought to my notice, I will examine that case Sir.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—It is given in the answer that 364 and 401 Assistant Engineers. Transfer orders were cancelled. It was also said that there are 600 Assistant Engineers. In the Department I think the general rule is that the officers should not be transferred within the three years ordinarily. These figures for two years would indicate that the rule is not adhered to. Is the policy of the Government to have that rule only for the sake of having a rule or is it followed up?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—I may submit Sir, these are not cancellation orders. They are general transfers made for that particular year. The Hon'ble Member putting the question seems to think that they indicate only cancellations or modifications. It is not so. They are general transfers.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that the original orders of transfer in respect of some Assistant Engineers. have been modified more than once just with a view to toss some people hither and thither?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, it was never the intention and it cannot be the intention of any Government to toss officers from one place to another. It all depends upon the importance of work at projects and the experience of the individual officers which will be considered.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—The number of transfer orders that were modified within a period of less than three months or six months in this lot of transfers?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—If notice of a separate question is given, we can supply the information.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, some transfers are made of some officers from one place to another within three months of going there or within less than six months. Will the Hon'ble Minister give us the number of such officers?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—If a separate question is put, I can furnish details, Sir.
